



For Office Use only:		
Date		
Ref		

Core Strategy Development Plan Document

Regulation 20 of the Town & Country (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012.

Publication Draft - Representation Form

PART A: PERSONAL DETAILS

* If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation in box 1 below but complete the full contact details of the agent in box 2.

	1. YOUR DETAILS*	2. AGENT DETAILS (if applicable)
Title	Miss	
First Name	[REDACTED]	
Last Name	Bennett	
Job Title (where relevant)	n/a at present	
Organisation (where relevant)	n/a at present	
Address Line 1	[REDACTED]	
Line 2	[REDACTED]	
Line 3	Shipley	
Line 4	West Yorkshire	
Post Code	BD17 [REDACTED]	
Telephone Number	[REDACTED]	
Email Address	[REDACTED]	
Signature:	[REDACTED]	Date: 29/03/14

Personal Details & Data Protection Act 1998

Regulation 22 of the Town & Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012 requires all representations received to be submitted to the Secretary of State. By completing this form you are giving your consent to the processing of personal data by the City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council and that any information received by the Council, including personal data may be put into the public domain, including on the Council's website. From the details above for you and your agent (if applicable) the Council will only publish your title, last name, organisation (if relevant) and town name or post code district.

Please note that the Council cannot accept any anonymous comments.

For Office Use only:			
Date			
Ref			

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section	<input type="text"/>	Paragraph	<input type="text"/>	Policy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---------	----------------------	-----------	----------------------	--------	-------------------------------------

4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
4 (2). Sound	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

I would like to comment first on issues that I feel are important before ensuring I complete the correct box and sections of the representation.

I was disappointed to be made aware of the Core Strategy only by word of mouth this week, so I am only now responding. I am disappointed that having looked at the Strategy that many references are made to Baildon and yet the information has not been listed as having been made available to residents in our local library.

I also feel that the amount of information given, even in a summary leaflet, is so large and unwieldy that for some people to be able to take on board all the issues is unlikely.

Co-operation is defined in the Oxford English dictionary as to 'work jointly towards the same end'. In a separate search to finding the Core Strategy, I came upon the updated SHLAA Update Report – May 2013 and where the Settlement Area maps are listed, and I looked at the Baildon area proposals. As far as I can see these maps are not mentioned in the Core Strategy with proposed new housing figures. In order to cooperate I feel that we should be given all the information together as people will be unaware of what areas of land are affected. This is surely important for residents to understand what areas are being proposed for building.

For Office Use only:

Date

Ref

For Office Use only:

Date

Ref

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section	<input type="text"/>	Paragraph	<input type="text"/>	Policy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---------	----------------------	-----------	----------------------	--------	-------------------------------------

4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
4 (2). Sound	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>

5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The Core Strategy makes reference on several occasions to Lower Baildon and changes to Lower Baildon transport infrastructure, this can be highlighted and shown in Section 4.1. Improvements in road and rail will be local to Lower Baildon but as there is planned housing for several areas in Upper Baildon on West Lane and near Shipley Glen this additional rail and road infrastructure will not benefit those people. From many years experience of commuting to Leeds and Bradford from the West Lane area I can confirm that at peak commuting times, for work and school times, traffic is an issue for all Baildon residents who travel by car, bus or train on Baildon Road and Otley Road and approaching the village. Having more housing in Upper Baildon would not be feasible with added car traffic.

The additional numbers of residents will also have a knock on effect on schooling and local facilities which already are full or struggle with current demands. The school local to me has now for a number of years had additional 'traffic' attending at school times since Sandal and Belmont schools merged to become one.

I am going to be bold and mention greenbelt land. Wikipedia refers to greenbelt as such 'In United Kingdom town planning, the green belt is a policy for controlling urban growth. The idea is for a ring of countryside where urbanisation will be resisted for the foreseeable future, maintaining an area where agriculture, forestry and outdoor leisure can be expected to prevail. The fundamental aim of green belt policy is to prevent urban sprawl by keeping land permanently open, and consequently the most important attribute of green belts is their openness.' It seems to me that land that meets this description is slowly being taken away from the country, and obviously will never return. In the Core Strategy there is lots of emphasis on conserving Heritage sites in Saltaire and Keighley and yet Baildon thrives on attracting walkers and tourists too, people are drawn to Baildon – can we not let leisure activity prevail in Baildon or does it have to make way for more housing which we cannot sustain.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to the soundness. (N.B Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

In relation to my comments on Cooperation it appears I cannot make any suggestions.

In relation to the comments on Policy I would suggest alternative housing land by the train line from Shipley to Leeds where the tracks divide and the line goes towards Baildon station (Dockfield Road/Walker Place area).

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. Please be as precise as possible.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

x

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt when considering to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

9. Signature:

Date:

29/03/14

